

2. Specific questions:

| No. | I. Company Name | II. Managing Company | III. Business Unit | IV. Shareholders | V. Country | VI. Operation |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|------------|---------------|
| Anglo American Managed Operations | | | | | | |
| 35 | Anglo American | Anglo American | Copper | Anglo American; Inversiones Mineras Becrux SpA (Codelco/Mitsui); Mitsubishi | Chile | El Soldado |

| 1. "Tailings Facility" Name/identifier | 2. Location | 3. Ownership | 4. Status | 5. Date of initial operation | 6. Is the Dam currently operated or closed as per currently approved design? |
|--|---|--|--|------------------------------|--|
| Dams No. 1 and 2. | Latitude: 32°39'51" S Longitude: 71° 8'50" W | Operated by Anglo American for JV partners | Inactive, see Q20 for more information | Before 1960 | Yes, see Q20 for more information |

| 7. Raising method | 8. Current Maximum Height (m) | 9. Current Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume (m ³) | 10. Planned Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume in 5 years time (m ³) | 11. Most recent Independent Expert Review | 12. Do you have full and complete relevant engineering records including design, construction, operation, maintenance and/or closure. | 13. What is your hazard categorisation of this facility, based on consequence of failure? | 14. What guideline do you follow for the classification system? | 15. Has this facility, at any point in its history, failed to be confirmed or certified as stable, or experienced notable stability concerns, as identified by an independent engineer (even if later certified as stable by the same or a different | 16. Do you have internal/in house engineering specialist oversight of this facility? Or do you have external engineering support for this purpose? | 17. Has a formal analysis of the downstream impact on communities, ecosystems and critical infrastructure in the event of catastrophic failure been undertaken |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Landform (see Q20 for more information) | 25 | 3.3 M | 3.3 M | 2017, See Q20 for more information | No | High | Anglo American Technical Standard (AA TS 602 001) | Yes - failed in 1965 (El Cobre earthquake), decades prior to Anglo American ownership. See Q 20. | Yes - In house engineering specialist. On demand support from external engineer. | No |

| 18. Is there a) a closure plan in place for this dam, and b) does it include long term monitoring? | 19. Have you, or do you plan to assess your tailings facilities against the impact of more regular extreme weather events as a result of climate change, e.g. over the next two years? | 20. Any other relevant information and supporting documentation. Please state if you have omitted any other exposure to tailings facilities through any joint ventures you may have. |
|--|--|---|
| No | Yes, See Q20 for additional information | <p>Q1: Dams 1 and 2 are contiguous, forming a single facility.</p> <p>Q4, Q6 & Q7: This facility was aquired by Anglo American several decades after its 1965 failure during the El Cobre earthquake. The remaining structure is defined as a "landform" as neither liquid nor slurry is retained.</p> <p>Q11: Yes</p> <p>Q18: The remaining facilities, including the area impacted by the 1965 failure, have been declared as "sacred ground" and no remedial work is permitted by the communities or authorities.</p> <p>Q19: Not performed as yet, but Anglo American long term Sustainable Mining Plan requires this to be done for all managed sites within the next 2 years.</p> |